DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER.

- 3. On or about August 8, 2012, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 2013-100, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1409.1, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 11100 Telegraph Rd, #16, Ventura, CA 93004.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
  - 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 2013-100.
  - 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 2013-100, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2013-100, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

17<sub>.</sub>

Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$2,215.00 as of November 15, 2012.

Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and

# **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Karen Lee McCormack has subjected her Registered Nurse License No. 691741 to discipline.
  - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Registered Nursing is authorized to revoke Respondent's Registered Nurse License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Business and Professions Code sections 490 and 2761(f) for (1) her conviction on January 12, 2011 for violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) (driving while under the influence of alcohol/drugs) in which she admitted to a special allegation under Vehicle Code section 23578 that she had driven with a .15% or greater blood alcohol level; and one count of violating Vehicle Code section 20002(a) (hit-and-run) and for (2) her conviction in November 2008 of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (driving with an equal to or greater than 0.08% blood alcohol). Both convictions are substantially related to the practice of registered nursing under title 16 section 1444 of the California Code of Regulations.
- b. Business and Professions Code sections 2761(a) and 2762 (c) for sustaining those two substance-abuse related convictions, both of which involved the consumption of alcohol and the use of prescription drugs.
- c. Business and Professions Code sections 2761(a) and 2762(b) for dangerous use of alcohol and controlled substances for the conduct underlying the 2011 conviction, in which Respondent endangered herself or others by using alcohol and prescription drugs, driving her car, colliding with another car, and attempting to drive off and for the conduct underlying the 2008 conviction, in which Respondent consumed drove while under the influence of alcohol and drugs.
- d Business and Professions Code section 2761(a) because the Board's Diversion Evaluation Committee terminated her from its diversion program in April 2012 and determined

. 1	that she presented a threat to the public or her own health and safety because she failed to follow
2	the diversion program's treatment program.
3	111
	111
4 5	
6	HI
7	III
8	///
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	HI
15	H
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	HI
21	
22	
23	III
24	///
25	
26	
27	
28	111
. ;	4

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

#### **ORDER**

IT IS SO ORDERED that Registered Nurse License No. 691741, heretofore issued to Respondent Karen Lee McCormack, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on Warch 8, 2013

It is so ORDERED February

1 8, 7017

FOR THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

default decision\_LIC.rtf DOJ Matter ID:LA2011601535

Attachment:

Exhibit A: Accusation

8.

Exhibit A

Accusation

	1
•	
1	Kamala D. Harris
2	Attorney General of California KAREN B. CHAPPELLE
2	Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3	GEOFF WARD.
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 246437
•	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702
· 5	Los Angeles, CA 90013
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2660 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804
	Attorneys for Complainant
7	
8	BEFORE THE
· .	BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
1,1	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2013-100
12	KAREN LEE MCCORMACK
. 12	11100 Telegraph Road, #16
· 13	Ventura, CA 93004 A C C U S A T I O N
14	Registered Nurse License No. 691741
15	Respondent.
16	
17	Complainant alleges:
18	PARTIES
19	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., R.N. (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of
21	Consumer Affairs (Board).
<b>2</b> 2	2. On or about November 1, 2006, the Board issued Registered Nurse License No.
23	691741 to Karen Lee McCormack (Respondent). The Registered Nurse License was in full force
24	and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31, 2014,
25	unless renewed.
26	<u>JURISDICTION</u>
· 27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following
28	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

Section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or

(c) Be convicted of a criminal offense involving the prescription, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances described in subdivisions (a) and (b) of this section, or the possession of, or falsification of a record pertaining to, the substances described in subdivision (a) of this section, in which event the record of the conviction is conclusive evidence thereof."

4 5.

б

9. Section 2770.7 authorizes the Board to discipline registered nurses for unprofessional conduct committed before, during, or after participation in a diversion program for substance abuse or mental illness rehabilitation, and authorizes the use of diversion records in certain situations:

8

9

"(a) The board shall establish criteria for the acceptance, denial, or termination of registered nurses in the diversion program. Only those registered nurses who have voluntarily requested to participate in the diversion program shall participate in the program.

· 11 12

13

10

(b) A registered nurse under current investigation by the board may request entry into the diversion program by contacting the board. Prior to authorizing a registered nurse to enter into the diversion program, the board may require the registered nurse under current investigation for any violations of this chapter or any other provision of this code to execute a statement of understanding that states that the registered nurse understands that his or her violations that would otherwise be the basis for discipline may still be investigated and may be the subject of disciplinary action.

14<sub>.</sub>

16

17

(c) If the reasons for a current investigation of a registered nurse are based primarily on the self-administration of any controlled substance or dangerous drug or alcohol under Section 2762, or the illegal possession, prescription, or nonviolent procurement of any controlled substance or dangerous drug for self-administration that does not involve actual, direct harm to the public, the board shall close the investigation without further action if the registered nurse is accepted into the board's diversion program and successfully completes the requirements of the program. If the registered nurse withdraws or is terminated from the program by a diversion evaluation committee, and the termination is approved by the program manager, the investigation shall be reopened and disciplinary action imposed, if warranted, as determined by the board.

18 19

20 21

(d) Neither acceptance nor participation in the diversion program shall preclude the board from investigating or continuing to investigate, or taking disciplinary action or continuing to take disciplinary action against, any registered nurse for any unprofessional conduct committed before, during, or after participation

23 24

in the diversion program.

22

(e) All registered nurses shall sign an agreement of understanding that the withdrawal or termination from the diversion program at a time when the program manager or diversion evaluation committee determines the licentiate presents a threat to the public's health and safety shall result in the utilization by the board of diversion treatment records in disciplinary or criminal proceedings.

26

27

25

(f) Any registered nurse terminated from the diversion program for failure

Accusation

. . 13 

(d) Failure to substantially benefit from participation in the program.

(e) Receipt of information by the board which, after investigation, indicates the participant may have violated a provision of the laws governing the practice of nursing. Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 2700) of Division 2 of the Code, excluding Section 2762."

#### COST RECOVERY

13. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Convictions of Substantially Related Crimes)

- 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 2761, subdivision (f), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1444 because she was twice convicted of crimes which to a substantial degree evidence her present or potential unfitness to practice nursing in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follows:
- a. On or about January 12, 2011, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Karen Lee McCormack* (Super Ct. Ventura County, Case No. 201004356MA), Respondent pled guilty to and was convicted of two misdemeanors: one count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) (driving while under the influence of alcohol/drugs) in which she admitted to a special allegation under Vehicle Code section 23578 that she had driven with a .15% or greater blood alcohol level; and one count of violating Vehicle Code section 20002(a) (hit-and-run). The Court sentenced Respondent to 96 hours of jail, placed her on 36 months probation, and ordered her to complete a nine-month driving under the influence program.
- b. The circumstances underlying the conviction are that on or about December 7, 2010, Respondent drove under the influence of alcohol and prescription medication, cut in front of another car, collided with it, and then fled the scene of the accident.
- c. The 2011 conviction followed a similar conviction in November 2008. In that case, People v. Karen Lee McCormack (Super Ct. Ventura County, 2008, No. 2008026494MA),

8

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 . 19

20 21

. 22

23

24 25

26

2728

Respondent pled guilty to and was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (driving with an equal to or greater than 0.08% blood alcohol). The Court sentenced Respondent to five days in jail, placed her on 36 months probation, and ordered her to complete a three-month driving under the influence program.

d. The circumstances underlying that conviction are that on or about July 1, 2008, Respondent was arrested after driving while under the influence of alcohol and prescription medication.

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Alcohol/Drug Related Conviction)

15. Respondent's alcohol- and drug-related convictions also subject her to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under sections 2761 (a) (authorizing discipline for unprofessional conduct) and section 2762 (c) (defining substance-abuse related convictions as unprofessional conduct) because they involved the consumption or self-administration of alcohol and controlled substances. Paragraph 14 and its subparagraphs are realleged and incorporated into this cause for discipline.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Dangerous Use of Alcohol and Prescription Drugs)

- 16. Respondent is also subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under sections 2761 (a) (authorizing discipline for unprofessional conduct) and 2762 (b) (defining the dangerous or injurious use of certain substances as unprofessional conduct) for dangerous use of alcohol and prescription drugs for her two driving under the influence convictions and the circumstances leading up to those convictions.
- 17. As alleged in paragraph 14 and its subparagraphs, which are realleged and incorporated into this cause for discipline, on December 7, 2010 Respondent endangered herself or others by using alcohol and prescription drugs, driving her car, colliding with another car, and attempting to drive off.
- 18. Also, on July 1, 2008, Respondent endangered herself and others by using alcohol and prescription drugs and driving her car.

. 6

7

9

10 11

.12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

.20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

-(Unprofessional Conduct Resulting in Termination from Diversion Program)

19. Respondent is also subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 2761(a) because the Board's Diversion Evaluation Committee terminated her from its diversion program in April 2012 and determined that she presented a threat to the public or her own health and safety. The basis for the termination and determination was her unprofessional conduct in failing to follow the treatment program she agreed to, including (a) failing to obtain an evaluation and treatment from an inpatient program for substance-abuse and mental health problems; (b) failing to respond to a call for random drug testing; (c) taking prohibited prescription medication without providing proof a physician had prescribed it or had a plan to reduce her reliance on it; and (d) stating she no longer wished to participate in diversion despite not having treated her problems.

# DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

20. To determine the degree of discipline, Complainant alleges that on or about November 1, 2006, the Board issued Respondent's license after she disclosed on her initial license application that she had a driving under the influence conviction in 2005.

:///

111

.....

111

///.

!//

[]] .

111

# PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License No. 691741, issued to Karen Lee McCormack;
- Ordering Karen Lee McCormack to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3; and
  - Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

Executive Officer

Board of Registered Nursing

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

14 LA2011601535 15 51030752\_4.doc

16

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

17.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

. 27